SS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

#### CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANCE	<u> </u>		
JACQUELINE FOR	D.		DEFENDANTS:		
SACGOLLINE FOR	J.	NCO FINANCI	AL SYSTEMS, INC.		
(b) County of Residence	c of First Listed Plaintiff	County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant		
(c) Attorney's (Firm N	ame, Address, Telephone Number and Email Add				
Craig Thor Kimmel, E		NOTE: IN LAS	NO CONDEMNATION CASES, US INVOLVED.	SE THE LOCATION OF THE	
Kimmel & Silverman,		1.			
30 E. Butler Pike		Attorneys (If Known)			
Ambler, PA 19002	•				
(215) 540-8888 II. BASIS OF JURISI	NOTION				
II. DASIS OF JURIS	DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	(For Diversity Cases Only)	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	B 3 Federal Question     Control Question		PTF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF	
, (200,0114	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This State (	I I I Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi		
☐ 2 U.S. Government	CI 4 Diversity	Citizen of Another State (			
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Anomer state (	D 2	Principal Place     5     5 Another State	
	,	Citizen or Subject of a C	3 G 3 Foreign Nation	7 4 5 4	
THE SHAREST AND ASSESSED.		Foreign Country	2 2 CorciBu (4440)	0606	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	actual subsequent of the subse			
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY		O 422 Appeal 28 USC 158		
C) 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal Injury -	O 620 Other Food & Drug	☐ 423 Withdrawal	400 State Reapportionment     410 Antitrust	
130 Miller Act     140 Negotiable Instrument	G 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractice Liability G 365 Personal Injury		28 USC 157	CJ 430 Banks and Banking	
II 150 Recovery of Overpayment	O 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability		PROPERTYRIGHTS	450 Commerce     460 Deportation	
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander O 368 Asbestos Personal	1	☐ 820 Copyrights	☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	O 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product Liability Liability	☐ 650 Airline Regs.	O 830 Patent	Corrupt Organizations	
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPERT	TY Safety/Health	Ø 840 Trademark	M 480 Consumer Credit D 490 Cable/Sat TV	
(Excl. Veterans)	O 345 Marine Product O 370 Other Fraud	[ 690 Other	/	O 490 Cable/Sat TV O 810 Selective Service	
153 Recovery of Overpayment     of Veteran's Benefits	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	LABOR	****SOCIADSEGUREPY*****	850 Securities/Commodities/	
160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle     355 Motor Vehicle     Property Damage	O 710 Fair Labor Standards Act	□ 861 HTA (1395ff)	Exchange	
D 190 Other Contract	Product Liability 385 Property Damage		☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	Ø 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410	
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	***************************************	C 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	890 Other Statutory Actions	
REAL PROPERTY	Injury  CIVIL RIGHTS  PRISONER PETITION	& Disclosure Act Six C 740 Railway Labor Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts	
210 Land Condemnation	441 Voting		☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	892 Economic Stabilization Act     893 Environmental Matters	
O 220 Foreclosure	O 442 Employment Sentence	🗇 791 Emp!, Ret. Inc.	or Defendant)	894 Energy Allocation Act	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment     240 Torts to Land	Habeas Corpus:     Accommodations	Security Act	O 871 IRS—Third Party	O 895 Freedom of Information	
(245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations 530 General  535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION	26 USC 7609	Act	
1 290 Ali Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - 540 Mandamus & Othe	er 0 462 Naturalization Application	1	O 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access	
	Employment	463 Habeas Corpus -		to lustice	
	Other	Alien Detainee  G 465 Other Immigration	1	950 Constitutionality of	
	O 440 Other Civil Rights	Actions		State Statules	
V ODICIN			l	<u></u>	
	us "X" in One Box Only) smoved from	Transf	ferred from Control Management	Appeal to District	
	moved from 3 Remanded from the Court Appellate Court	4 Reinstated or 5 another (speci	er district	- Magistrate	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are	c filing (Do not cite jurisdictions	al statutes unless diversity):	Judgment	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ONI				
	Brief description of cause: Fair Debt Collection Practices Ac	t			
VII. REQUESTED IN		DEMAND S	CHECK YES only i	f demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT:	. UNDER F.R.C.P, 23		JURY DEMAND:	Ø Yes □ No	
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):			····	
	(See insudeadons): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
Explanation:	:	//	<del></del>		
2 71					
0-1-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
DATE	SIGNATURE OF	ATTORNEY OF RECORD			

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to bassignment to appropriate calendar.	e used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of
Address of Plaintiff: 8463 Snowden Oaks Place	Laurel MD 20708
Address of Defendant: 507 Prudential Road, Hors	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	
(Use Reverse Side For A	
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation at	nd any publicly held corporation owning 10% of more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.I(a))	Yes No XV :
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yest No.
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	. ~
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year	ar previously terminated action in this court?
2. Does this case involve the came increase fine an account of the	Yes No No
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior staction in this court?	uit pending or within one year previously terminated
	Ycs□ NoVI
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier no	embered case pending or within one year previously
terminated action in this court?	Ycs□ NoX
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	case filed by the same individual?
The state of the s	
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	Yes Note
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1.   Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. D FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury
3. D Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. ☐ Marine Personal Injury
5. □ Patent	5.  Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6.   Labor-Management Relations	•
	6. Other Personal Injury (Please
7. Civil Rights	specify)
8. G Habeas Corpus	7. Products Liability
9 Securities Act(s) Cases	8. O Products Liability — Asbestos
10.000	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
11. All other Federal Question Cases 15 U.S.C. \$ 1692	(Picase specify)
(Please specify)	
ARBITRATION CERTIF	TICATION
(Check Appropriate Cate	reory)
Counsel of record do hereby certify:	Yet do a
D Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and be \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	net, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
DATE: 3-7-11 Craig /hor-Kimme	L 57100
Aftorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there	has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or with	thin one year previously terminated action in this court
xcept as noted above.	* Commented activity in this court
DATE: 3-7-11 . Mary Throok in mol	57100
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
NIV 600 (6100)	ranomoj Lo,n

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Jacqueline Fo	(d)	CIVIL ACTION	i		
NCO Financial S	Systems, Inc	NO.			
In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.					
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING CASE MANAG	EMENT TRACKS:			
(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases	brought under 28 U.S.C. § 224	I through § 2255.	( )		
	requesting review of a decision lying plaintiff Social Security l		( )		
(c) Arbitration - Cases requ	ired to be designated for arbitr	ation under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	( )		
(d) Asbestos – Cases involv exposure to asbestos.	ing claims for personal injury		( )		
commonly referred to as	Cases that do not fall into tracks complex and that need special side of this form for a detailed of	l or intense management by	( )		
(f) Standard Management -	Cases that do not fall into any	one of the other tracks.	Ø		
3-7-11 Date 215-540-8888	(raig Mar-Kimmel Attorney-at-law 877-788-2864	Jacquelme Ford Actionney for Limmele Cipatiflaw. con	<u></u>		
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address			

(Civ. 660) 10/02

#### Ţ IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 JACQUELINE FORD, 4 Plaintiff 5 Case No.: V., 6 NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR 7 JURY TRIAL Defendant 8 (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) **COMPLAINT** 10 JACQUELINE FORD ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, KIMMEL & 11 12 SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC. 13 ("Defendant"): 14 INTRODUCTION -15 1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 16 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA"). 17 18 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 19 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states 20 that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court 21 without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original 22 jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States. 23 24 3. Defendant conducts business and has an office in the Commonwealth of 25 Pennsylvania and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.

- 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).
- 5. Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

#### **PARTIES**

- 6. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Laurel, Maryland.
- 7. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 8. Further, Plaintiff is a person granted a cause of action under the FDCPA. See 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a) and Wenrich v. Cole, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18687 (E.D. Pa. Dec. 22, 2000).
- 9. Defendant is a national debt collection company with corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road in Horsham, Pennsylvania, 19044.
- 10. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and Defendant repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 11. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

#### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

12. The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA") is a comprehensive statute, which prohibits a catalog of activities in connection with the collection of debts by third parties.

See 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. The FDCPA imposes civil liability on any person or entity that violates its provisions, and establishes general standards of debt collector conduct, defines abuse, and provides for specific consumer rights. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k. The operative provisions of the FDCPA declare certain rights to be provided to or claimed by debtors, forbid deceitful and misleading practices, prohibit harassing and abusive tactics, and proscribe unfair or unconscionable conduct, both generally and in a specific list of disapproved practices.

- 13. In particular, the FDCPA broadly enumerates several practices considered contrary to its stated purpose, and forbids debt collectors from taking such action. The substantive heart of the FDCPA lies in three broad prohibitions. First, a "debt collector may not engage in any conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692d. Second, a "debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692e. And third, a "debt collector may not use unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692f. The FDCPA is designed to protect consumers from unscrupulous collectors, whether or not there exists a valid debt, broadly prohibits unfair or unconscionable collection methods, conduct which harasses, oppresses or abuses any debtor, and any false, deceptive or misleading statements in connection with the collection of a debt.
- 14. In enacting the FDCPA, the United States Congress found that "[t]here is abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors," which "contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." 15 U.S.C. § 1692a. Congress additionally found existing laws and procedures for redressing debt collection injuries to be inadequate to protect consumers. 15 U.S.C. § 1692b.
- 15. Congress enacted the FDCPA to regulate the collection of consumer debts by debt collectors. The express purposes of the FDCPA are to "eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses." 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

10

13

15

22

- 16. At all relevant times, Defendant was attempting to collect an alleged consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 17. Upon information and belief, the alleged debt Defendant was seeking to collect arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 18. Beginning in or before May 2010, Defendant, its employees, agents and servants made continuous and repeated telephone calls to Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 19. Plaintiff received phone calls and voice messages from the following phone number: 800-711-0454, which the undersigned has confirmed belongs to Defendant.
- 20. Defendant would not provide the name of the person or company that was calling when it left voice messages on Plaintiff's answering machine.
- 21. Rather, Defendant's messages would demand that a return call be made to call "Kevin Monroe" and state that the call was "an attempt to collect a debt."
  - 22. Plaintiff disputes owing any debt.
- 23. Upon information and belief, the consumer Defendant was seeking as its debtor was not Plaintiff.
  - 24. The consumer Defendant was seeking as its debtor was not Plaintiff's husband.
- 25. Upon information and belief, the consumer Defendant sought as its debtor was a third party who neither Plaintiff nor her husband knew or had information about that consumer.
- 26. Within five (5) days of Defendant's initial telephone call to Plaintiff, Defendant failed to send Plaintiff written notice of her rights to dispute the debt and/or request verification of the debt.
  - 27. To date, Plaintiff has not received any written notification from Defendant

regarding her rights to dispute the debt and/or request verification of the debt.

#### CONSTRUCTION OF APPLICABLE LAW

- 28. The FDCPA is a strict liability statute. Taylor v. Perrin, Landry, deLaunay & Durand, 103 F.3d 1232 (5th Cir. 1997). "Because the Act imposes strict liability, a consumer need not show intentional conduct by the debt collector to be entitled to damages." Russell v. Equifax A.R.S., 74 F. 3d 30 (2d Cir. 1996); see also Gearing v. Check Brokerage Corp., 233 F.3d 469 (7th Cir. 2000) (holding unintentional misrepresentation of debt collector's legal status violated FDCPA); Clomon v. Jackson, 988 F. 2d 1314 (2d Cir. 1993).
- 29. The FDCPA is a remedial statute, and therefore must be construed liberally in favor of the debtor. Sprinkle v. SB&C Ltd., 472 F. Supp. 2d 1235 (W.D. Wash. 2006). The remedial nature of the FDCPA requires that courts interpret it liberally. Clark v. Capital Credit & Collection Services, Inc., 460 F. 3d 1162 (9th Cir. 2006). "Because the FDCPA, like the Truth in Lending Act (TILA) 15 U.S.C §1601 et seq., is a remedial statute, it should be construed liberally in favor of the consumer." Johnson v. Riddle, 305 F. 3d 1107 (10th Cir. 2002).
- 30. The FDCPA is to be interpreted in accordance with the "least sophisticated" consumer standard. See Jeter v. Credit Bureau, Inc., 760 F.2d 1168 (11th Cir. 1985); Graziano v. Harrison, 950 F. 2d 107 (3<sup>rd</sup> Cir. 1991); Swanson v. Southern Oregon Credit Service, Inc., 869 F.2d 1222 (9th Cir. 1988). The FDCPA was not "made for the protection of experts, but for the public that vast multitude which includes the ignorant, the unthinking, and the credulous, and the fact that a false statement may be obviously false to those who are trained and experienced does not change its character, nor take away its power to deceive others less experienced." Id. The least sophisticated consumer standard serves a dual purpose in that it

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ensures protection of all consumers, even naive and trusting, against deceptive collection practices, and protects collectors against liability for bizarre or idiosyncratic interpretations of collection notices. <u>Clomon</u>, 988 F. 2d at 1318.

# COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 31. In its actions to collect a disputed debt, Defendant violated the FDCPA in one or more of the following ways:
  - a. Defendant violated §1692c(b) of the FDCPA by communicating with Plaintiff about the debt of a third party;
  - b. Defendant violated §1692d of the FDCPA by harassing Plaintiff in connection with the collection of an alleged debt;
  - c. Defendant violated §1692d(5) of the FDCPA by causing Plaintiff's telephone to ring repeatedly or continuously with the intent to harass, annoy or abuse Plaintiff;
  - d. Defendant violated §1692e of the FDCPA by using false, deceptive, or misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of a debt;
  - e. Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair and unconscionable means with Plaintiff to collect or attempt to collect a debt;
  - f. Defendant violated §1692g of the FDCPA by failing to send written notification, within five (5) days after its initial communication with Plaintiff, advising Plaintiff of her rights to dispute the debt or request verification of the debt; and

- 1	]
1	g. Defendant acted in an otherwise deceptive, unfair and unconscionable manner
2	and failed to comply with the FDCPA.
3	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, JACQUELINE FORD, respectfully prays for a judgment as
4	follows:
5	a. All actual compensatory damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §
6	1692k(a)(1);
7	b. Statutor y damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to
8	15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
9	c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation
10	costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); and
11	d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.
12	
13	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
14	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, JACQUELINE FORD, demands a jury trial in
15	this case.
16	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
17	2.7 8
18	RIVINIEL & SIL VIRIVIAIN, F.C.
19	By:Craig Thor Kinnel
20	Attorney ID #57100  Tara L. Patterson
21	Attorney ID #88343 Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.
22	30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002
23	Phone: (215) 540-8888 Fax: (877) 788-2864
24	Email: <u>kimmel@creditlaw.com</u>
25	·
	•